

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,650 03/29/2004		Kazuya Iwasaki	248840US2CONT	9598	
22850	0 7590 05/01/2006		EXAMINER		
•	PIVAK, MCCLELLAN	NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T			
1940 DUKE ALEXAND	STREET RIA, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	2629			
		DATE MAILED: 05/01/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)			
			310,650	IWASAKI, KAZUYA			
Office Action Summary		Exa	niner	Art Unit			
		Kimı	nhung Nguyen	2629	•		
	- The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears o	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO. HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu e to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi ply received by the Office later than three months afte d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE C 37 CFR 1.136(a). In incation. tory period will apply II, by statute, cause to	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be tine and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					. *		
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL. 2b Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice)⊠ This action or allowance ex	n is non-final. cept for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the	e merits is		
Disposition	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicatio	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the	withdrawn fro					
10)□ 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country of th	a) accepted on to the drawing correction is r	g(s) be held in abeyance. See equired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/091,501. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC), Q48)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Inform	or Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/29/04</u> .		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)-152)		

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been examined. The claims 1-17 are pending. The examination results are as following.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-17 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,741,239. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the current Application 10/810,650 and Patent No. 6,741,239 recite the same limitations "detecting a voltage, logic circuit power source, performing supply/interrupt of a voltage, compulsory discharge means, detection of a voltage rise of said logic circuit power source..." However, the different between the current Application 10/810,650 and Patent No. 6,741,239 that the current

Application/Control Number: 10/810,650 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

Application 10/810,650 does not teach a "LCD" on the power source control method. It obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a LCD" on the power source control method because the LCD is well known in the art and almost applied to any system display.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7698. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI, FROM 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on (571) 272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimnlung Manusor
Kimnhung Nguyen

Examiner April 25, 2006